**Monitoring and Evaluation**

**Project Results Monitoring and Evaluation**

* The Project Coordination Unit “PCU” follows the day to day progress in the Project’s activities based on the Project Implementation Plan and the Results Framework Indicators. This is done through close contact with the National Coordinators and the Project Management Assistants in the Countries
* The PCU is responsible for producing regular technical and financial reports; and for applying GEF International Waters Monitoring and Evaluation Tools
* The PCU arranges for two external evaluations: the Midterm Review and the Final evaluation
* The World Bank applies its own Monitoring and Evaluation Measures
* The PCU updates the Regional Steering Committee of the Project Progress through regular reports and one annual meeting at least

**Project Results Framework Indicators**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Global Environmental Objectives** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PDO Statement | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The project development objective (PDO) is to improve management of marine resources in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden in selected MPAs building on resources protection, incentive systems for communities and harmonization of the knowledge base on marine resources between PERSGA member countries. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Global Environmental Objective Indicators** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  | **Cumulative Target Values** | | | | |  | **Data Source/** | **Responsibility for** |
| **Indicator Name** | **Core** | **Unit of Measure** | **Baseline** | **YR1** | **YR2** | **YR3** | **YR4** | **End Target** | **Frequency** | **Methodology** | **Data Collection** |
| Marine areas brought under biodiversity protection (ha) |  | Hectare(Ha) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100000.00 | 200000.00 | 200000.00 | Annually | Updated management plan. | PERSGA |
| Direct project beneficiaries |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1000.00 | 2000.00 | 3000.00 | 3000.00 | Annuallly | Monitoring reports. | PERSGA |
| Number of alternative livelihood projects realized by communities |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | Annually | Project report | PERSGA/NC |
| Availability of harmonized parameters and database for PERSGA member countries |  | Yes/No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Annually | Project report; Populated Database | PERSGA |
| Female beneficiaries |  | Percentage | 0.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | Annually | Project monitoring reports. | PERSGA |
| . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Intermediate Results Indicators** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  | Cumulative Target Values | | | | |  | Data Source/ | Responsibility for |
| Indicator Name | Core | Unit of Measure | Baseline | YR1 | YR2 | YR3 | YR4 | End Target | Frequency | Methodology | Data Collection |
| Participants in consultation activities during project implementation (number) |  | Number | 0.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 300.00 | 400.00 | 400.00 | Annually | Project monitoring reports | PERSGA |
| Participants in consultation activities during project implementation - female |  | Number | 0.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | Annually | Project monitoring reports | PERSGA |
| Indicator 1.1: Number of MPA plans updated with community input and with assigned rights for user groups |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | Annually | Updated MPA plans in two communities; Project annual report. | PERSGA and NC local MPA authorities |
| Indicator 1.2: Number of stakeholders trained and participating in developing/updating MPA master plans with a rights based approach |  | Number | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | Bi-annually | Monitoring reports. | PERSGA and NCs of member countries with pilots |
| Indicator 1.3: Rights based management system established in two pilot MPA sites for community user groups |  | Yes/No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Annually | Monitoring Report | PERSGA and NCs of member countries with pilots |
| Indicator 1.4: Number of institutional MPA staff trained to work with local communities and in rights based approach |  | Number | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | Bi-annually | Monitoring reports. | PERSGA and NCs of member countries with pilots |
| Indicator 1.5: Number of participants from five PERSGA member countries in regional meetings/exchanges for MPA counterparts on community-based MMA management |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | Annually | Meeting records with list of participants. | PERSGA |
| Indicator 1.6: Availability of documentation of lessons learned from regional meetings/exchanges for MPA counterparts (number, types, and dissemination sites) |  | Yes/No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Quarterly | Brochures and records of dissemination events | PERSGA |
| Indicator 1.7: Percentage of community members involved in MPA plan updating and management who are women |  | Percentage | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | Annually | Project report | PERSGA/ NC |
| Indicator 2.1: Percentage of fishermen involved in fisheries monitoring, control and surveillance activities |  | Percentage | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 50.00 | 60.00 | 70.00 | Annually | - Baseline reports on fisheries statistics developed at project start by lead specialists in the communities;  - Monitoring reports. | PERSGA/NC |
| Indicator 2.2: Spawning sites for grouper (i.e. nagil (Plectropomus sp.), snapper (Lutjanis spp.) and other commercially relevant species under protection with community compliance |  | Yes/No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Annually | Project monitoring report | PERSGA/ NC |
| Indicator 2.3: Percentage variance in groupers |  | Percentage |  |  | 0.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | Bi-annually | Baseline reports on fisheries statistics developed by the communities/ lead specialists;  Monitoring reports by communities | PERSGA/ NC |
| Indicator 2.4: Percentage variance in corals |  | Percentage |  |  | 0.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | Bi-annually | Baseline reports on fisheries statistics developed by the communities/ lead specialists;  Monitoring reports by communities | PERSGA/NC |
| Indicator 2.5: Percentage of female beneficiaries of alternative livelihood projects |  | Percentage |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | Annually | Project report | PERSGA/NC |
| Indicator 3.1: Number of PERSGA member country staff trained in common monitoring parameters and methods |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | Annually | Project report; records of trainings | PERSGA |
| Indicator 3.2: Number of member country routinely employing the monitoring methods developed by PERSGA |  | Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | Annually | Project reporting | PERSGA |
| Indicator 3.3: Number of regional exchanges on data collection and findings from new parameters |  | Number |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | Annually | Project reporting and proceedings of the meetings | PERSGA |
| Indicator 3.4: Reporting on GEF IW indicators and participation in workshops |  | Yes/No |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Annually and as required | GEF IW records | PERSGA |
| Indicator 4.1: Functionality of project management including FM, procurement and environmental and social impact monitoring |  | Yes/No |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Annually | FM reports timely; procurement documentation; EMPs for sub-projects;  Annual reports | PERSGA |
| . | | | | | | | | | | | |

**Monitoring and Evaluation Template**

**Please add the Excel File: SEM Project Monitoring and Evaluation Template**

**GEF IW Tracking Tool**

**Please add the Excel Files**

**GEF IW Tracking Tool August 2012**

**GEF IW Tracking Tool January 2016**